



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

Prakash

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By, The Inspector of Police,
Virusvedu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.09 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Aruljenifer
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabakar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.09 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(C), 20(b)(ii) (A) of NDPS Act & Section 77 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, in Crime No.09 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons were found in illegal possession of 300 grams of cannabis. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court and he is in judicial custody from 16.01.2026. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner and the property has been recovered. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the quantity of the contraband is not commercial and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Dindigul, and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(S S Y J)
26.02.2026

msrm



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

To

1. The Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases
under POCSO Act, Dindigul.
2. The Superintendent, District Prison,
Dindigul.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Viruvedu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.4264 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J.

msrm

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4264 of 2026

Date : 26.02.2026