



W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 19.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

V.Parthasarathi

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Assistant Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Generation and Distribution
Corporation Limited (TANGEDCO),
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
K.K.Nagar, Madurai - 625 020.

2.M.Salva

... Respondents

(The second respondent was impleaded vide order of this Court, dated 19.06.2026 in W.M.P(MD)No.8342 of 2026)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondent to provide the temporary electric connection to the property in R.S.No. 173/1B situated at Madurai Melur Main Road, Madurai based on the petitioner's application dated 03.02.2026 within a time frame fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

For Petitioner	:Mr.V.Manikandan
For R1	:Mr.S.Deenadhayalan
For R2	:Mr.N.Adithya Vijayalayan

ORDER

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the first respondent to provide a temporary electricity service connection to the property in R.S. No. 173/1B, situated on Madurai–Melur Main Road, Madurai, based on the application dated 03.02.2026.

2.According to the petitioner, he is cultivating the said land as a tenant pursuant to an order passed by the Tahsildar and is therefore in lawful possession and cultivation of the property. He states that he submitted an application to the first respondent seeking a temporary electricity service connection for the agricultural land.

3.The application has not been processed due to an objection raised by the second respondent, who also claims to be cultivating the land as a tenant. It is stated that the land belongs to Sooravali Subbiah Trust and that there is a dispute between the petitioner and the second



W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

respondent regarding cultivation rights. It is also not in dispute that a civil suit concerning the said land is pending between the parties.

4.Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the cultivation rights earlier granted to the second respondent have since been cancelled.

5.In order to resolve the controversy, and without expressing any opinion on the rival claims of the parties , this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider the rival claims of the petitioner and the second respondent. If the petitioner establishes that he is lawfully cultivating the land as a tenant, the first respondent shall provide a temporary electricity service connection to the said land in favour of the petitioner. While considering the matter, the first respondent shall also take into account the alleged order cancelling the cultivation rights granted to the second respondent.

6.The above exercise shall be completed within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

WEB COPY 7. There shall be no order as to costs.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No

19.06.2026
(2/2)

cmr



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cmr

W.P.(MD)No.5371 of 2026

19.06.2026
(2/2)