



CRL OP(MD). No.4200 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 01/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.4200 of 2026

Saravanan

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS-Thallakulam,
Madurai City,
Crime No.6 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

2. Silviya Shervani

... Respondent/ Defacto
Complainant

(R2 is suo motu impleaded as per order of this Court dated
26.02.2026 in CrI.OP(MD)No.4200 by SSYJ).

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.6 of 2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s.M.Sudharani,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.4200 of 2026

Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1
: No Appearance for R2

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 109 of IPC in Crime No.6 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant. The marriage was solemnized between the petitioner and the complainant on 02.07.2022. At the time of marriage, the complainant was given sufficient sridhana. However, at the instigation of the other accused, who are the family members of the petitioner, the petitioner harassed the complainant by demanding additional dowry to start a business. Apart from that, he has extra marital affair with A5. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he



CRL OP(MD), No.4200 of 2026

has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that already A2 to A5 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court dated 26.02.2026 and the petitioner was also granted interim anticipatory bail on the ground that in order to amicable settlement, counselling is going on before the concerned trial Court, where the HMOP is pending. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that there is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the complainant. The petitioner harassed the complainant by demanding additional dowry. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that the A2 to A5 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court dated 26.02.2026 and the petitioner was also granted interim anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature



CRL OP(MD), No.4200 of 2026

of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a family dispute between the parties and already this Court granted anticipatory bail to A2 to A5 and the petitioner also granted interim anticipatory bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Madurai**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD), No.4200 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

01.04.2026

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.4200 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.2,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
AWPS-Thallakulam,
Madurai City,
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4200 of 2026

Date : 01/04/2026