



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 02/04/2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

CMP(MD)No.2802 of 2026
in
WA(MD)SR.No.10896 of 2026

M.Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services
Recruitment Board,
Rep. by its Chairman,
Having Office at Old Commissioner of
Police Office Campus,
Pantheon, Egmore,
Chennai - 600 008.

2. Director General of Police (Law and Order),
O/o.Director General of Police,
Beach Road, Chennai.

3. The Superintendent of Police,,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondents



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

PRAYER :- Petition filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, to condone the delay of 1404 days in filing the above writ appeal against the order passed in W.P(MD)No.7019 of 2020 dated 22-03-2022 on the file of this Honourable Court.

For Petitioner : M/s.J.Muthuchelvi
For Respondents : Mr.J.Ashok
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.**)

This Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to condone the delay of 1404 days in filing the Writ Appeal.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

3. The reasons assigned for the delay are that, though the order in the writ petition was passed on 22.03.2022, since the appellants



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

being a layman with poor financial condition, was not able to travel to Madurai to verify the status of the case and further his counsel did not intimate the case status to him on personal verification, the petitioner came to now that no writ appeal was filed by his earlier counsel. Hence, the above delay has occurred.

4. Merely because the petitioner is a layman, he cannot blame his counsel since he has every responsibility to follow up the case and give instructions to his counsel. He should always be vigilant at least to follow up the case. The writ petition was dismissed as early as on 22.03.2022 and for four years, the petitioner slept over the matter.

5. It is well settled that the Court ordinarily adopts a liberal approach while considering petitions for condonation of delay. At the same time, such an approach cannot be extended in the absence of justifiable and sufficient reasons.



6. In this regard it is useful to refer the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in ***State of Tamil Nadu and Ors Vs. Melvisharam Muslim Educational Society*** reported in **2018 [3] CTC 420**, wherein the Division Bench of this Court has held as follows:

“... Though the delay is condoned by the Court normally in a liberal manner, the said approach cannot be extended mechanically without any plausible explanation. What is pitted against an ordinary litigant is also pitted against the Government before Court of law to establish a particular fact. Though the word ‘sufficient cause’ has to be given a liberal approach, to exercise discretion ? for such liberal approach, there must be necessary facts in the affidavit filed in support of the same. But, on a perusal of the affidavit, we do not find plausible explanation for such delay except stating that there is an administrative delay. Such vague and bald explanation cannot be accepted mechanically. When Courts are extending such liberal approach mechanically, it has become a routine affairs of the Government Departments to file the appeals against every order passed by the Court. The present day scenario in filing the appeal, challenging every order by the



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

WEB COPY

Government Departments, clearly exhibits shirking responsibility of the Department Heads. In fact, now the tendency has developed among the Department Heads, not to take any risk and to avoid any question relate to the litigant and only in order to avoid any query, the administrative side files these types of appeals, though there is no merit in the appeal.”

7. The Court, in exercising discretion, particularly in these types of petitions, has to see the conduct, behaviour and attitude of a party relating to its inaction or negligence. The above factors are relevant to be taken into consideration as the fundamental principle is that Courts are required to weigh the scale of balance of justice in respect of both parties and the said principle cannot be given a total go-by in the name of liberal approach. There is an increasing tendency to perceive delay even in a non-serious matter. Hence, the delay due to nonchalant attitude should be curbed at the initial stage itself. It is for them to be very vigilant from the very inception and



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

they cannot sit over the files and come up with unacceptable reasons to condone the delay.

8. In the present case, we are not satisfied with the reasons assigned for condoning such an inordinate delay of 1404 days.

9. Accordingly, this Civil Miscellaneous Petition is dismissed. Consequently, the connected W.A(MD)SR.No.10896 of 2026 is rejected at the SR stage itself. No costs.

[N.S.K., J.] & [M.J.R., J.]
02.04.2026

bala

To

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services
Recruitment Board,
Having Office at Old Commissioner of
Police Office Campus,
Pantheon, Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.

6/8



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

2. Director General of Police (Law and Order),
O/o.Director General of Police,
Beach Road, Chennai.

3. The Superintendent of Police,,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.



WEB COPY



CMP(MD). No.2802 of 2026

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

bala

CMP(MD)No.2802 of 2026
in
WA(MD)SR.No.10896 of 2026

02.04.2026