



W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.4454 & 4455 of 2026

M.Subhash Gupta

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Madurai District,Madurai.

2.The District Revenue Officer,
District Collectorate Campus,
Madurai District, Madurai.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Melur, Madurai District.

4.The Thasildar,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District.

5.S.Jeyapandiyan

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings in Ni.Mu.No.E-2437802/2024/G5 dated 17.12.2025 and quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.A.M.Karuppusamy

For R1 to R4 : Mr.D.S.Nedunchezian
Government Advocate

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings of the second respondent in Ni.Mu.No.E-2437802/2024/G5 dated 17.12.2025 and quash the same as being illegal.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner purchased the land measuring an extent of 3 acres and 71 cents from one Sunilkumar Jailan @ Julian Jailan on 07.05.2024. After obtaining DTCP approval, the petitioner sold certain plots and is in possession and enjoyment of the remaining unsold plots. Meanwhile, the fifth respondent filed a petition before the second respondent stating that the property belongs to his grandmother, who had executed a Settlement Deed in his favour and prayed for rectification of the UDR error and issuance of patta in his name as well as in the name of his mother. The second respondent, without conducting a proper enquiry and without



W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

issuing notice to the petitioner and other purchasers and pattadars, passed the impugned order cancelling the patta issued in the names of others and directing issuance of patta in the names of the previous pattadars in Patta No.115.

3. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the first to fourth respondents would fairly submit that the records do not disclose that the petitioner was put on notice before the impugned order was passed.

4. Once a patta is transferred or restored to its original position, notice ought to have been issued to the other pattadars and interested parties. Any transfer or restoration effected without issuing notice and conducting a proper enquiry is illegal and violative of the principles of natural justice. Since no notice was served on the petitioner, on that ground alone, the impugned order is set aside and the matter is remitted back to the second respondent to pass a fresh order after issuing notice to the petitioner and other pattadars and interested parties and conducting an enquiry, within a period of sixteen (16) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

WEB COPY 5. With the above observations, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

[K.SURENDER, J.]
27.02.2026

JEN

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Madurai District,Madurai.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer,
District Collectorate Campus,
Madurai District, Madurai.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Melur, Madurai District.
- 4.The Thasildar,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

K.SURENDER, J.

JEN

W.P.(MD) No.5318 of 2026

27.02.2026