



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 25.02.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.P(MD)No.4840 of 2026

Ganesan

... Petitioner

.Vs.

- 1.The Collector,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.
- 2.The Superintendent.
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Iluppur Revenue Division,
Pudukkottai District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukottai District.
- 5.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.



WEB COPY

6.The Inspector of Police,
Vellanur Police Station
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order in Na.Ka.E-4023238/2025/C5, dated 16.2.2026, on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to permit the Petitioner to conduct "Vadamadu Manjuvirattu" in Melur Village, Rengudikudi Kulam, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkatesan

For R1,R3 & R4 : Mr.J.Ashok
Addl.Govt. Pleader

For R2,R5 and R6 : Mr.K.Gnanasekaran
Govt.Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN**)

The Writ Petition is filed seeking Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the impugned order dated 16.2.2026 pertaining to the proceedings of the first respondent denying permission to the Petitioner for conducting Vadamadu Manjuvirattu in Melur Village, Rengudikudi Kulam, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukkottai District on the birth day of Former Chief Minister J.Jeyalalitha.



WEB COPY

2.The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1,3 and 4 submits that the village in which permission is sought to conduct Manjuvirattu is not a notified village. Therefore, the District Collector, on receipt of representation, had sought for certain documents to consider the request, but the Petitioner has not furnished those documents. Hence the request for grant of permission to conduct Vadamadu Manjuvirattu was declined.

3.The learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the District Administration is permitting the Manjuvirattu for request made by the ruling party and they are only discriminating the request from the opposition party.

4.We are not inclined to go into the political dispute. It is clear and certain that Manjuvirattu event can be conducted only in the notified place and notification is done following certain procedures. Unless the Petitioner undergo the procedure and got permission for conducting Manjuvirattu event, he cannot, as a matter of right seek permission to conduct Manjuvirattu event.

5.With these observations, the Writ Petition stands disposed



WEB CODE

of, with liberty to the Writ Petitioner to undergo the process
notifying his village to conduct Vadamadu Manjuvirattu event.

No costs.

[G.J.,J.] [K.K.R.K.,J.]
25.02.2026

NCS : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

vsn

To

- 1.The Collector,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.
- 2.The Superintendent.
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Iluppur Revenue Division,
Pudukkottai District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukottai District.
- 5.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Pudukottai District,

4/6



Pudukottai.

WEB COPY

6.The Inspector of Police,
Vellanur Police Station
Pudukottai District.



WEB COPY



DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.
and
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

vsn

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.4840 of 2026

25.02.2026