



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED :25.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.4044 of 2026

Sabapthi

... Petitioner/Victim

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu rep by,
The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Trichy.

2.The State of Tamilnadu rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Trichy City.

(Crime No.5 of 2023) ...
Respondents/Complainants

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to issue a direction, directing the 2nd Respondent to complete the investigation and file charge sheet in Crime No. 5 of 2023 within a time frame as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Leninkumar



WEB COPY



For Respondents : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

Preface:

The advent of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 marks a constitutional transformation in India's criminal jurisprudence. For the first time since Independence, the criminal process has been consciously re-engineered to be victim-centric, citizen-responsive and justice-oriented, in contrast to the colonial enactments which were historically designed to subserve the interests of an imperial administration and to control its subjects.

2. The new Codes emphasise timeliness, transparency, accountability, and proportionality, recognising delay as a denial of justice. In particular, the BNSS, 2023, by codifying strict timelines for investigation in Section 193, ensures that the investigating agency cannot indefinitely keep the sword of uncertainty hanging over the head of an accused or allow victims to languish without



closure. It is in this mandate of constitutional justice that the present petition deserves to be examined.

3. This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to file a final report with respect to the Crime No.5 of 2023.

Submissions:

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the FIR was registered on 19.07.2025, no final report has been filed till date. Such delay is contrary to the statutory mandate under Section 193 BNSS, which prescribes time-bound investigation. It is therefore prayed that necessary directions be issued.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submits that the investigation is in progress and the investigating officer shall abide by any timeline fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY

6. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.

Analysis:

7. The BNSS has introduced a strict regime of time-bound investigation, which reads as follows:

(i) Section 193(1) BNSS mandates that every investigation under this Sanhita shall be completed without unnecessary delay.

(ii) Section 193(2) BNSS mandates that in relation to offences punishable with imprisonment of seven years or more, the investigation shall ordinarily be completed within ninety days; and in all other offences, within sixty days. (iii) Section 193(3) BNSS, mandates that if the investigation is not completed within the period prescribed, the investigating officer shall record the reasons in writing and intimate the Magistrate of such delay.

8. The statute therefore creates (i) a positive duty to complete investigation promptly; (ii) outer time limits; and (iii) a compulsory accountability mechanism for delay. These provisions are mandatory in nature and bind the investigating agency.



WEB COPY

9. facts ;

The petitioner is a retired government officer. The accused were introduced to him by a mutual friend as if they were running multiple businesses in the name of 'Right Capital'. The accused insisted the petitioner invest money in 'Right Capital' and stated that if the petitioner invested money, that would be doubled within a period of 1 ½ years. Believing his words, the petitioner invested a sum of Rs.3,850,000/-. But the petitioner never received any amount from the accused. Then only he realised that he was cheated by the accused, and he also came to know that they also cheated one Devasana. At her instance, First Information Report in Crime No.5 of 2023 was registered by the respondent police for the offence under Sections 417, 420, 463, 465, 468 and 471 of IPC. The accused moved a quash petitioner before this Court in CrI.OP(MD)No.3623 of 2024 on the ground of compromise stating that they cheated the said Devasana only. In that petition, the petitioner was also impleaded as one of the party and the same is pending. Even FIR was registered on 19.07.2023, there is no progress in the investigation. Hence, he filed this petition.



WEB COPY

10. This Court notes that the FIR in the present case was registered on 19.07.2025 and the investigation has not culminated in a final report even after the lapse of the period contemplated under Section 193(2) BNSS. No material is placed before this Court to show that the reasons for delay were recorded in writing or that the same was intimated to the jurisdictional Magistrate as required under Section 193(3) BNSS.

11. Such unexplained delay defeats the very purpose of the reformed statutory architecture, which mandates expeditious investigation so that the criminal process becomes an instrument of justice rather than a prolonged ordeal.

12. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to issue appropriate directions.

13. In the light of the above statutory mandate and taking into account the facts and submissions, the following directions are issued:



WEB COPY

(i) The respondent police is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No. 57 of 2025 and file a final report before the jurisdictional Court within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) If for any legally acceptable reason the investigation cannot be completed within the above period, the investigating officer shall strictly comply with Section 193(3) BNSS by recording reasons in writing and placing the same before the jurisdictional Magistrate without fail.

(iii) The petitioner is at liberty to work out her remedies in accordance with law if the above directions are not complied with.

Epilogue:

14. The BNSS, 2023 embodies a shift from a punitive colonial framework to a justice-centric democratic framework. Timely investigation is the first guarantee of fairness to both victim and accused. This Court expects diligent adherence to statutory timelines by the investigating agency.



WEB COPY

15. The Criminal Original Petition is accordingly disposed of
with the above directions.

25.02.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
dss

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Trichy City.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

dss

CRL OP(MD)No.4044 of 2026

25.02.2026