



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3577 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 3577 of 2026

Aaron

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Keerathurai Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.374 of 2023)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.P.Shivaranjini
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 374 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.09.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii)(C), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985 in Crime No.374 of 2023 on the file



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3577 of 2026

of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on secret information the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that accused persons are found in possession of 20 Brown Colour packets, containing ganja plants in the form of dried leaves, flowers and seeds. Subsequently, on the confession of the first accused they went to the spot where trafficking to be held and found ganja weighing about 2000 kgs. and arrested other accused persons. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is no specific overt act against the petitioner. There is no recovery from this petitioner. This petitioner has been implicated on the basis of the confessions statement of the co-accused. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks adjournment to make her further submissions. Since the matter is pending for long time, this Court declined to grant adjournment



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3577 of 2026

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. There are 13 accused in this case. This petitioner has been implicated based on the confession statement of the co-accused. The quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity. The total contraband is nearly 2090 kgs. of ganja. The petitioner purchased the boat to transport the ganja. The petitioner has four previous cases. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the available records.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, investigation is not yet completed, the quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity and the petitioner also have some previous cases, similar in nature, I am declined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

TM

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3577 of 2026

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Keerathurai Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.374 of 2023)
- 2.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3577 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 3577 of 2026

Date : 20.04.2026