



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

S.Lakshmanan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Management of Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Madurai Region,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Madurai.

2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employee's Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai,
Chennai – 2.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the petitioner interest at the rate of 18 percent per annum, for the period of delay from 01.03.2023 to 29.10.2024, in paying the amounts paid towards his terminal benefits namely EPF Employees Contribution, Gratuity and Encashment of leaves



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

within a time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.S. Arunachalam
For Respondent : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for a Mandamus, directing the respondent to pay interest to the petitioner at the rate of 18% per annum, for the period of delay from 01.03.2023 to 29.10.2024 in paying the terminal benefits, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he joined as a Cleaner/Server in the respondent Transport Corporation on 17.12.1990 and he retired from service as Special Grade Cleaner/Server on 28.02.2023. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity and encashment of leave were settled to him only on 30.10.2024. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondent is liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

dated 20.01.2026 to the respondent, however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

3.Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for the respondents and submits that the terminal benefits have been settled to this petitioner, however, belatedly.

4. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

5. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs.***



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

State of Haryana reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6%



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

per annum and held as under:-

WEB COPY

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

8. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

No costs.

19.02.2026

NCC: Yes/No

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes

vrn

To

1. The Management of Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Madurai Region,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Madurai.

2. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employee's Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai,
Chennai – 2.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.4619 of 2026

19.02.2026