



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

Kingston Jeyasingh @ Vadai

...Petitioner/A1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Sathankulam,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.29 of 2025)
...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvam
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No. 29 of 2025 on the
file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.02.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 103, 351(3) r/w 3(5) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.29 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the father of the deceased. The deceased got married one Suba Selena in the month of July, 2023 and they were living in the defacto complainant's house. Due to family dispute, she left the matrimonial home and stayed with the petitioner herein. While she was in Chennai, the deceased used to harass her through phone. At that time, the petitioner warned the deceased through his brother not to harass her. Even after that, he harassed her. On



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

06.02.2025, at about 09.30 p.m., the petitioner herein and four others attacked the deceased by using knife and aruval and he died on the spot. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.02.2025. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the father of the deceased, the case has been registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 103, 351(3) r/w 3(5) of



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

BNS, 2023. He would further submit that the investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed and the same was taken on file in S.C.No. 289 of 2025 by the II Additional District and Sessions Court, Thoothukudi. He would further submit that the case is pending for trial. He would further submit that the petitioner has three previous cases. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and though the petitioner is having 3 previous cases, in all cases the petitioner was released on bail and the case is pending trial in S.C.No.289 of 2025 on the file of the II Additional District and Sessions Court,



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

Thoothukudi and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the II Additional District and Sessions Court, Thoothukudi, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the I II Additional District and Sessions Court, Thoothukudi, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

WEB COPY

the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)

25.03.2026

vsg

To

- 1.The II Additional District and Sessions Court,
Thoothukudi.
- 2.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Sathankulam,
Thoothukudi District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.3609 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 3609 of 2026

Date : 25.03.2026