



WP(MD). No.8573 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 10/02/2026

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

**WP(MD). No.8589 of 2024
and WMP(MD) No.7834 of 2024**

S.Velavan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai, Madurai District..

2. Vignesh G,
S/o.Late.S.R.Ganapathy,
D.No.8, Alagaradi 1st Street, Madurai - 16..

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai. ... Respondents
(R3 is Suo Motu Impleaded Vide Court Order Dated.04.04.2024).

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing 1st respondent to cancel the Town Survey Register to the land in New T.S.No. 19, Old S.No. 21/4, Block - 12 to the extent of 4.36 Ares (10.78 cents) issued in the name of 2nd respondents deceased father S.R.Ganapathy dated 16.10.2018 as devoid on merits.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Selvakumar



WP(MD). No.8573 of 2024

For Respondents : Mr.S.Shanmugavel for R1 and R3
Additional Government Pleader
No appearance for R2

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for a mandamus directing the 1st respondent to cancel the Town Survey Register to the land in New T.S.No. 19, Old S.No. 21/4, Block - 12 to the extent of 4.36 Ares (10.78 cents) issued in the name of 2nd respondents deceased father S.R.Ganapathy dated 16.10.2018 as devoid on merits.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents 1 and 3.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the Town Survey register, the 2nd respondent's name was wrongly entered. He would also submit that the 2nd respondent's father had filed a suit for declaration. However, when all the title deeds are in the name of the petitioner, the name of the 2nd respondent has been wrongly entered in the town survey register. Hence, in order to cancel the same, the petitioner has given a representation. Since the said representation has not been considered so far, he is before this Court.



WP(MD). No.8573 of 2024

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader would submit that the Revenue Divisional Officer is competent to make any cancellation and hence, the Revenue Divisional Officer has been *suo motu* impleaded.

5. Considering the said submission, without going into the merits or the contentions of the petitioner, in the event, the first respondent issued a wrong patta in favour of the 2nd respondent and subsequent entries were made in town survey register, the 3rd respondent is the authority to cancel the same. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to make necessary application for cancellation to the third respondent. On receipt of the said application, the third respondent is directed to pass orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing all the parties, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

10.02.2026

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
RR



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.8573 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J

RR

To

1. The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai, Madurai District..

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai.

**ORDER
IN**

WP(MD) No.8589 of 2024

Date : 10.02.2026