



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3327 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 3327 of 2026

Nesakumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.152 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Mathava Selvam
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 152 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(C), 20(b) (ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.152 of 2025 on the file of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3327 of 2026

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on secret information the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that accused persons are found in possession of 80 kgs. of ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is no specific overt act against the petitioner. There is no recovery from this petitioner. This petitioner has been implicated on the basis of the confessions statement of the co-accused. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity. The contraband was recovered from this petitioner also. The total contraband is nearly 160 kgs. of ganja. In a car they have illegally transported the ganja jointly. The petitioner has no previous cases. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3327 of 2026

5. Heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the available records.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, the quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity, I am declined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
21.04.2026

TM

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.152 of 2025)

2.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3327 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 3327 of 2026

Date : 21.04.2026