



CRL OP(MD). No.3224 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/02/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) . No.3224 of 2026

Koodalingam ... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.45 of 2026. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jothi Basu,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.45
of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the
hands of the respondent police for the offences
punishable under Sections 324(4), 115(2), 127(2),



CRL OP(MD). No.3224 of 2026

118(1), 296(b), 132, 351(2) of BNS 2023, in Crime No. 45 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Village Administrative Officer. The accused persons submitted an application before the authorities to issue "rural area" certificate. The officials have informed that the BDO office only got the power to entertain such application. The petitioner and other accused persons went to the office and abused the defacto complainant using filthy language and attacked him using hands, legs and scale. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.3224 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are two accused, they are father and son and both of them attacked the VAO and there is no security for the Government officials. Hence, he vehemently objected for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the nature of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

18.02.2026

PJL

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.3224 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.3224 of 2026

Date : 18/02/2026