



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3076 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 3076 of 2026

Malaiyarasan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Seithur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.178 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Lenin
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 178 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 31.07.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b) (ii)(B) 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.178 of 2025 on the file of the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3076 of 2026

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on secret information on 31.07.2025 at about 09.00 a.m., on the secret information, the respondent police went to the spot and found that the accused persons are in possession of 1.500 kgs. of ganja. Thereafter, on the confession they recovered 19 kgs. of ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. On the confession statement of the co-accused this petitioner was implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. The quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity. The contraband was recovered from A2's house in the presence of the petitioner. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3076 of 2026

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, quantity involved is commercial quantity and contraband was recovered from this petitioner, the petitioner failed to comply the conditions under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, this Court is declined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
29.04.2026

TM

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Seithur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.178 of 2025)
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3076 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 3076 of 2026

Date : 29.04.2026