



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 16.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873, 3966,
3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

in Crl.A.(MD)SR.Nos.7776, 7837, 7774 of 2026,

78214, 78216 of 2025, 7835, 9041, 9045, 9037, 9043, 9035 and 9039 of 2026

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3336 of 2026

in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.7776 of 2026

A.Chandraseakaran

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 594 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates
For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

CrI.M.P.(MD)No.3338 of 2026
in CrI.A.(MD)SR.No.7837 of 2026

M/s.Anitha Texcot (India) Private Limited,
Rep. by its Director,
Mr.A.Elangovan,
No.10/14, Bridgeway Colony Extension,
Lakshmi Nagar,
Thirupur – 641 007.

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 594 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in
Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates
For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3356 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.7774 of 2026

Venkatasubramanian

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 594 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates
For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3480 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.78214 of 2025

1. B.Balamurali
2. S.Velraja

... Petitioners

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 520 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3481 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.78216 of 2025

Gnananandini Venkatachalam Elangovan

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 594 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates
For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3602 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.7835 of 2026

M/s.Anitha Texcot (India) Private Limited,
Rep. by its Director,
Mr.A.Elangovan,
No.10/14, Bridgeway Colony Extension,
Lakshmi Nagar,
Thirupur – 641 007.

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 594 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3860 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9041 of 2026

S.K.Sampathkumar

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3873 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9045 of 2026

A.Nagaveni Srinath

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar
For R3 : No appearance

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3966 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9037 of 2026

P.Rajeswari

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

For R3 : No appearance

WEB COPY

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3968 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9043 of 2026

V.Kasirajan

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar

For R3 : No appearance

10/17



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.3986 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9035 of 2026

N.Sugumar

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar

For R3 : No appearance



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.4107 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.No.9039 of 2026

1. V.Saravanan
2. M.Chithra

... Petitioners

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Trichy.
Crime No.6 of 2001.

2. V.Janakiraman

3. Vasanthi

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone the delay of 598 days in preferring the criminal appeal against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.Roy and Roy Associates

For R1 : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.S.Kishor Kumar

For R3 : No appearance



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

COMMON ORDER

These petitions are filed to condone the delay of 520, 594 and 598 days in preferring the above criminal appeals, against the Judgment dated 25.04.2024 in Special Case No.91 of 2011 on the file of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli, in Crime No.6 of 2001 on the file of the respondent Police.

2. The petitioners herein are purchasers of lands from accused Nos.1 and 2 in Special Case No.91 of 2011. The accused No.1, a Sub Registrar, along with his wife, purchased 61 acres of land at Kodaikanal. The Vigilance and Anti-Corruption Department registered a case as against the Sub Registrar and his wife that they have amassed wealth disproportionate to his known source of income. After the investigation, the final report was also filed before the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli and it was taken on file in Special Case No.91 of 2011. The trial Court, by its Judgment dated 25.04.2024, found the accused Nos.1 and 2 guilty, convicted and sentenced them to undergo five years rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/-, in default, to undergo six months simple



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

imprisonment and also ordered for attachment of subject crime properties amassed by accused Nos.1 and 2.

3. Pending trial, the accused Nos.1 and 2 have created documents and sold away the properties to various persons. A portion of the properties were sold prior to the registration of FIR and some of the properties were also sold subsequent to the Judgment of the trial Court. The trial Court, while convicting the accused, has also ordered for attachment of properties of the accused Nos.1 and 2. The petitioners, who are in possession of those properties, have filed the appeals as against the order of attachment of properties passed by the trial Court dated 25.04.2024, however, with the delay of 520, 594 and 598 days.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) raised serious objection that all the petitioners, knowing the fact that the properties are the subject matters of the criminal case in Special Case No.91 of 2011, have purchased the properties at an undervalued price. According to him, the order of confiscation of properties dated 25.04.2024 was duly communicated to the Sub Registrar and also to the Inspector General of Registration on 29.04.2024. However, the person, by name, Vinoth Raj, has purchased a portion of the properties on



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

WEB COPY

03.02.2025 and M/s.Anitha Texcot (India) Private Limited has also purchased a portion of the properties on 06.09.2024. Therefore, according to him, the petitioners have purchased the properties at an undervalued price, knowing that the subject properties are crime properties procured disproportionately to the income of the accused 1 and 2.

5. This Court considered the rival submissions made.

6. Admittedly, the petitioners are subsequent purchasers of the properties from accused 1 and 2 and they have purchased the properties prior to the registration of FIR and also during the trial. Admittedly, they are not the parties to the proceedings in Special Case No.91 of 2011, which was tried by the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Tiruchirappalli. Since the properties stand in the name of accused 1 and 2, the trial Court, without providing an opportunity to the petitioners, who are the subsequent purchasers, has passed the order of confiscation.

7. It is also brought to the knowledge of this Court that the persons, who are similarly affected by the order of confiscation dated 25.04.2024 in Special



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

Case No.91 of 2011, have filed similar appeals before this Court as against the order of confiscation dated 25.04.2024, in Crl.A.(MD)No.869 of 2025 and Crl.A.(MD)Nos.994 to 1019 and 1076 of 2025 and the same were allowed by this Court on 14.08.2025 and 30.10.2025, by remanding the matters for fresh consideration by the trial Court.

8. Since the petitioners were not parties to the proceedings in Special Case No.91 of 2011 and they were affected by the order of confiscation dated 25.04.2024 passed by the trial Court and also in view of the earlier Judgments of this Court in Crl.A.(MD)No.869 of 2025 and Crl.A.(MD)Nos.994 to 1019 and 1076 of 2025, all the petitions are allowed and delay is condoned. The Registry is directed to number the appeals and list the appeals on 30.03.2026 along with Crl.A.(MD)No.1286 of 2025.

16.03.2026

ogy



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602, 3860, 3873,
3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3336, 3338, 3356, 3480, 3481, 3602,
3860, 3873, 3966, 3968, 3986 and 4107 of 2026
in Crl.A.(MD)SR.Nos.7776, 7837, 7774 of 2026,
78214, 78216 of 2025, 7835, 9041, 9045, 9037,
9043, 9035 and 9039 of 2026

16.03.2026