



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026
& W.M.P(MD)Nos.3179 & 3183 of 2026

R Padmavathi

... Petitioner in both cases

Vs.

The State Tax Officer (FAC)
Kulithalai Assessment Circle
C T Buildings, Kulithalai.

... Respondent in WP(MD)No.3880 of 2026

The Deputy State Tax Officer I
Kulithalai Assessment Circle
C T Buildings, Kulithalai.

... Respondent in WP(MD)No.3881 of 2026

Common Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari,

to call for the records on the file of the respondent in GSTIN -
33AJCPM1913H1ZN/2021-22, dated 25.10.2025 for the assessment year
2021-22 passed by the respondent and quash the above is illegal, passed
on dead person, wholly without jurisdiction and direct the respondent not



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

to proceed further to recover the demand pursuant to the said impugned assessment orders dated 25/10/2025.

to call for the records on the file of the respondent in GSTIN 33AJCPM1913H1ZN /2023-24, dated 23.10.2025 for the assessment year 2023-24 passed by the respondent and quash the above is illegal, passed on dead person , wholly without jurisdiction and direct the respondent not to proceed further to recover the demand pursuant to the said impugned assessment orders dated 23/10/2025.

For Petitioner
in both petitions : Mr.Sudalai Muthu N

For Respondent
in both petitions : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, AGP

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed challenging the impugned orders dated 23.10.2025 & 25.10.2025 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondent.



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. By consent of the parties, the main petitions are taken up for disposal at the stage of admission itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in these cases, the petitioner's husband, one Marimuthu, was passed away on 14.06.2023. However, without considering the same, the show cause notices dated 08.08.2025 & 14.08.2025 were issued for AYs 2023-24 & 2021-22 and subsequently, the impugned orders dated 23.10.2025 & 25.10.2025 have also been passed against the petitioner's husband, who is a dead person. Hence, he would contend that the said impugned order, which was passed against a dead person, is non-est in law and the same is liable to be set aside.

5. Further, he would submit that now, the petitioner, who is one of the legal heirs of the deceased Proprietor, is willing to file reply to the show cause notices dated 08.08.2025 & 14.08.2025 issued by the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to pass appropriate orders



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. In reply, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent have confirmed the submissions made by the petitioner and they had fairly admitted that the impugned order was passed against the petitioner's father, who is a dead person. Hence, he requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

7. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

8. In the case on hand, the petitioner's husband was died as early as on 14.06.2023. Thereafter, the show cause notices dated 08.08.2025 & 14.08.2025 were issued for the AYs 2023-24 & 2021-2022 and the impugned orders dated 23.10.2025 & 25.10.2025 respectively were passed by the respondent against the petitioner's husband, who is a dead person.



WEB COPY

9. As rightly contended by the petitioner, an order, which was passed against a dead person, is non-est in law and the same is liable to be set aside. Now, the petitioner, who is one of the Legal Heirs of the deceased Proprietor, undertakes to file a reply to the show cause notice on behalf of all the legal heirs. Therefore, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned orders and remand the matters back to the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:

(i) The impugned orders dated 23.10.2025 & 25.10.2025 are set aside and the matters are remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

(ii) The petitioner, in her capacity as a legal heir of the deceased, shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, for the show cause notices dated 08.08.2025 & 14.08.2025, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

10. With the above directions, these writ petitions are disposed of.

No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

12.02.2026

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

To

1.The State Tax Officer (FAC)

Kulithalai Assessment Circle

C T Buildings, Kulithalai.

2.The Deputy State Tax Officer I

Kulithalai Assessment Circle

C T Buildings, Kulithalai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.(MD)Nos.3880 & 3881 of 2026
& W.M.P(MD)Nos.3179 & 3183 of 2026

12.02.2026