



WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 24.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

**WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026
and WMP Crl.(MD)No.251 of 2026**

C.Rajeswari,

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Police,
Southern Zone No.1,
New Natham Road, Madurai.

2. The Deputy Inspector General,
Of Police, District Collectorate Campus,
Ramanathapuram.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate Campus,
Ramanathapuram..

4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Kottaimedu, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram..

5. State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to take appropriate action based on the representation of the petitioner dated 02.02.2026 and not to harass the petitioner and her family members.

1/5



WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramsundarvijayraj,

For Respondents : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for *Writ of Mandamus* to direct the respondents to take appropriate action based on the representation of the petitioner dated 02.02.2026 and not to harass the petitioner and her family members.

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side.

3. The petitioner is running a petty shop. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent police are interfering with the smooth running of the said shop; in particular, specific allegations have been made against a particular police officer.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondents submitted when the complaint has received, the respondent has taken action as per law.



WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that the respondents are entitled to take action in accordance with law, in the event any complaint is received. However, the respondents shall strictly adhere to the guidelines laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh***, reported in ***(2014) 2 SCC 1***, particularly by issuing summons to the petitioner prior to initiating further action. Insofar as any allegations are made against a particular police officer, the petitioner is at liberty to prefer a complaint before the concerned Superintendent of Police. Upon receipt of such complaint, the Superintendent of Police shall proceed in accordance with law.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

24.02.2026

LS



WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026

TO
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector General of Police,
Southern Zone No.1,
New Natham Road,
Madurai.
2. The Deputy Inspector General,
Of Police, District Collectorate Campus,
Ramanathapuram.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate Campus,
Ramanathapuram..
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Kottaimedu, Kamuthi,
Ramanathapuram..
5. The Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram.
6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



WP CRL.(MD). No.685 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

LS

WP CRL.(MD) No.685 of 2026

24.02.2026