



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.2460 of 2026

- 1.Periyamarichamy
- 2.Chinnamarichamy
- 3.Mahasundari
- 4.Lakshmi
- 5.Soundaravalli

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kallikudi Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.21 of 2026)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Muniyandi

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.21 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 329(4), 115(2), 118(1), 49, 351(3) of BNS, and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, 2002, Sections 3 & 5 of Explosive Substance Act, 1908, in Crime No.21 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is having the land at Kallikudi. The 1st and 2nd petitioners are the own brothers of the defacto complainant and they demanded partition from the defacto complainant. Due to the same, there arose some dispute between them and they approached the police station. The police officials instructed to approach the civil court for partition. In the meantime the 1st accused threatened the defacto complainant in dire consequences. On 25.01.2026, the 1st accused used filthy language and lodged the report before the respondent. Thereafter, the accused persons conspired together and they were threatened in dire consequences, in the meantime the accused persons threw out a petrol bomb. But the defacto complainant did not sustain injuries and thereafter, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant's wife. Both were admitted at Government Hospital, Thirumangalam. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature. Further, there is no previous case against the petitioners and the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the fact that dispute is regarding sharing of property and the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required. The petitioners 3 to 5 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

17.02.2026

TMG

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

1. Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumangalam.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kallikudi Police Station,
Madurai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2460 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2460 of 2026

Date : 17.02.2026