



W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **06.02.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY**

W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

S.Murugesan

...Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Mandavelipakkam,
RA Puram, Chennai.
3. The District Registrar,
Pudukkottai.
4. The Sub-Registrar,
Kulathur Post and Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Illupur Post and Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.
6. The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus thereby direct the respondent to register the settlement deed dated 05.12.2025 presented by the petitioner by considering



W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

the petitioner's representation dated 10.12.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Santhosh Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.K.S.Selvaganesan,
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondents to register the settlement deed dated 05.12.2025 presented by the petitioner by considering the petitioner's representation dated 10.12.2025.

2. Mr.K.S.Selvaganesan, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents.

3. By consent on either sides, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that when the petitioner presented the settlement deed dated 05.12.2025 for registration, the same was returned by the fourth respondent. Hence, the present petition.



W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that, in the instant case, since there was some error in the settlement deed presented by the petitioner, the same was returned for rectification of error. In the event, if the error is rectified and the document is presented, the fourth respondent will consider registration of the same.

6. In reply, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has rectified the error in the settlement deed and prayed for appropriate orders.

7. Upon hearing either sides, it appears that, as there was some error in the settlement deed dated 05.12.2025, the fourth respondent returned the same to the petitioner for rectification of error. Now, according to the petitioner, the said error has been rectified. Under such circumstances, this Court directs the petitioner to present the settlement deed dated 05.12.2025 before the fourth respondent and on such presentation, the fourth respondent is directed to register the same, if it is otherwise in order.



W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

8. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands allowed. No costs.

WEB COPY

06.02.2026

mbi

To

1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Mandavelipakkam,
RA Puram, Chennai.
3. The District Registrar,
Pudukkottai.
4. The Sub-Registrar,
Kulathur Post and Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Illupur Post and Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.
6. The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

mbi

W.P.(MD)No.3351 of 2026

06.02.2026