



WP CrI.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 13-02-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

WP CrI.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

WEB COPY

**Real Best Recreation Club
Rep. by its Secretary S.O.Balamurugan**

Petitioner(s)

Vs

**1. The Cyber Crime Officer,
Cyber Crime Enforcement Agency
Distt-Meerut,
Uttar Pradesh.**

**2. The Branch Manager
Canara Bank,
KK Nagar Branch
Madurai RO - 625 020.**

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.T.Bashyam

For Respondent(s):

**Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (crl. Side), for R-1**

Mr.C.Karthick, for R-2

Prayer: To issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to release the bank account of the petitioner club in 2nd respondent bank bearing account number 120031446745 based on the representation dated 04.02.2026.

ORDER



WP Crl.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

This writ petition is filed seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to release the bank account of the petitioner club in 2nd respondent bank bearing account number 120031446745 based on the representation dated 04.02.2026.

2. The facts leading to the filing of the petition are as follows:

(a) The petitioner is holding account number 120031446745 at 2nd respondent's bank .

(b) The petitioner came to know that his account had been frozen.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is not an accused in any criminal case; the act of the 2nd respondent bank freezing the entire account is illegal and violates the fundamental rights of the petitioner; that though pursuant to the alleged communication sent by the Cyber Police Coordination Cell, the 2nd respondent was obliged to obey the directions, the 2nd respondent ought to have informed the petitioner about the action taken thereon; and that having failed to do so, it has breached the contract with the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit



WP Crl.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

that the account of the petitioner was frozen on the basis of the communication from the Cyber Police Coordination Cell.

5. On perusal of the records, it is clear that there is nothing on record to show that the Cyber Police Coordination Cell had requested the second respondent to freeze the entire account. The fact is that account has been frozen and the petitioner is unable to operate the account.

6. It is seen that in similar circumstances, this Court had observed that when the alleged fraudulent amount is quantified, the freezing of the whole account would not be justified. Similar view was taken by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.(MD).No.15684 of 2024 dated 15.07.2024, wherein, it was held that:

“3. The respondent is permitted to retain the aforesaid sum by marking lien on the petitioner's account. Subject to such marking of lien, the petitioner is permitted to operate their bank account. The freezing effected on the petitioner's bank account is lifted to the aforesaid extent. This writ petition stands allowed . No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.”



WP CrI.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of on the following directions:

(a). The 2nd respondent / Bank shall keep only a lien amount of Rs.30,000/- and permit the petitioner to operate the account forthwith.

(b). The petitioner is at liberty to move to the concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate for recall of the lien marked over the quantified amount, if he is so advised.

8. With the above observations and directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

13.02.2026

KSA



WP Crl.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

1. The Cyber Crime Officer,
Cyber Crime Enforcement Agency
Distt-Meerut,
Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Branch Manager
Canara Bank,
KK Nagar Branch
Madurai RO - 625 020.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



WP CrI.(MD) NO. 788 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY.J,

KSA

WP CrI.(MD) No. 788 of 2026

13.02.2026