



W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY**

W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

Vadivelu

...Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Trichy District, Trichy.
2. The Tahsildar,
Manachanallur Taluk,
Trichy District.
3. The Block Development Officer,
Mannachanallur Panchayat Union,
Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.
4. The Divisional Engineer,
Highways Department,
TVS Tollgate, Trichy District.
5. The Chief Engineer,
Block Development Office,
Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.
6. The President,
Pichandavar Koil Village Panchayat,
No. 1 Tollgate,
Manachanallur Taluk,
Trichy District.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to relocate the



W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

proposed construction of the 70 feet long new bus stop in the vacant space ahead of petitioner's property's frontage in S.Nos.79/G2 and 79/3D2 situated at Pichandavar Koil Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District to some other place.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Leninkumar

For Respondents : Mr.S.Shanmugavel
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondents to relocate the proposed construction of the 70 feet long new bus stop in the vacant space ahead of petitioner's property in S.Nos.79/G2 and 79/3D2 situated at Pichandavar Koil Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District to some other place.

2. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that for the purpose of public usage and convenience, the subject bus stop has been constructed and it is now in usable condition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that since the subject bus stop has been constructed in front of the petitioner's



W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

property, there is no sufficient space available for the petitioner and the petitioner's movement from his property is restricted.

4. I have given due consideration to the submissions made on either sides.

5. In the present case, if at all the petitioner's movement is restricted and he is not able to come out of his property due to the construction of the subject bus stop and he requires a pathway, he is at liberty to make a representation to the appropriate authorities.

6. At this juncture, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the authorities have provided 15 feet pathway for the petitioner's movement into his property.

7. Recording the above submission, this Writ Petition is disposed of.
No costs.

30.01.2026

Speaking / Non-speaking order
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

mbi



W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

To

1. The District Collector,
Trichy District, Trichy.
2. The Tahsildar,
Manachanallur Taluk,
Trichy District.
3. The Block Development Officer,
Mannachanallur Panchayat Union,
Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.
4. The Divisional Engineer,
Highways Department,
TVS Tollgate, Trichy District.
5. The Chief Engineer,
Block Development Office,
Manachanallur Taluk, Trichy District.
6. The President,
Pichandavar Koil Village Panchayat,
No. 1 Tollgate,
Manachanallur Taluk,
Trichy District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

mbi

W.P.(MD)No.3227 of 2024

30.01.2026