



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2145 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.2145 of 2026

S.Veeraraj

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.259 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Raghavachari, Senior Counsel
for Mr.Anandhamoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.Kaa.Ramakrishnan
Mr.J.Anandkumar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.259 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2145 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 329(2) of BNS, 2023 and subsequently altered to offences punishable under Sections 331, 217, 238, 241, 132 and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.259 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 17.11.2025 one Sathyamoorthy subordinate of de-facto complainant locked the office after 6 'o' clock and handed over the key to one Mandiramoorthy. The same had also been informed to the de-facto complainant. On 17.09.2025 at night hours the unknown persons entered into the office for accomplice work. Hence, a case has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there are two previous cases registered against the petitioner, which are similar in nature. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2145 of 2026

petitioner.

WEB COPY

5.It is seen that the 1st petition for anticipatory bail was dismissed and the present petition is a second anticipatory bail application. There is no change in circumstances. Further, it is submitted by the Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that the petitioner has deleted the call logs including the unattended calls which shows that the petitioner is involved in the present crime.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence and the specific overt act against the petitioner this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

TMG

16.02.2026



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2145 of 2026

1.The Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2145 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2145 of 2026

Date : 16.02.2026