



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

Kathiresan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Kattuputhur,
Trichy District.
(Crime No. 35 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sundar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 35 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 324(5), 303(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 35 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.08.2025, the petitioner illegally trespassed into the farm of the defacto complainant and cut the trees and when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioner threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to property dispute, the occurrence happened and the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

has no previous case. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and also considering the facts that there is a dispute between the parties in respect of the property and there is a delay in lodging complaint and also the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thottiam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

interrogation.

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

apd

(P D B J)
24.03.2026
(2/2)



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thottiam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Kattuputhur,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 2022 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 2022 of 2026

Date : 24.03.2026
(2/2)