



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

Manikandan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Trichy.
(Crime No. 244 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vivek
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 244 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2), 333, 127(7), 311, 351(3) and 332(b) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 244 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant and his family members were sleeping at home, the petitioner and other accused were trespassed into the house, tied them and attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and threatened them with weapons and robbed gold jewels weighing 9 ½ sovereigns and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/-. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused were released on bail by this Court. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.08.2025. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the case has been registered under Sections 310(2), 333, 127(7), 311, 351(3) and 332(b) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 244 of 2025. He would further submit that totally nine accused in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A8 and some of the jewels were recovered from the A6 and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- was recovered from other accused. He would further submit that the investigation was completed and the charge sheet was filed and the same is taken on file in S.C.No.518 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Court-II, Trichy. He would further submit that the petitioner has one previous case, which are not similar in nature. He would further submit that the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

on either side, nature of offence, and considering the facts that already the investigation was completed and the charge sheet was filed and the same is taken on file in S.C.No.518 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Court-II, Trichy and some jewels and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- were recovered and some of the co-accused were released on bail by this Court and though the petitioner has one previous case, the same is not similar in nature and in all cases bail was granted to him and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can

WEB COPY be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
17.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Trichy.
3. The Superintendent, Central Jail, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 3570 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 3570 of 2026

Date : 17.04.2026