



WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 30/01/2026

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

T.Kannan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Registrar,
Tirunelveli District Registrar Office,
Tirunelveli..

2. The Joint-I Sub Registrar,,
Melapalayam Sub Registrar Office,
Tirunelveli..

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to impugned Check Slip passed by the 2nd respondent in Refusal Number RFL/Melapalayam/23/2026 dated 19.01.2026 and quash the same and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed executed by the petitioner dated 12.01.2026.



WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Mohideen Basha

For Respondents : Mr.M.Lingadurai
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned refusal check slip of the 2nd respondent in Refusal Number RFL/Melapalayam/23/2026 dated 19.01.2026 and for a consequential direction to the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed executed by the petitioner dated 12.01.2026.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents. By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The petitioner's father possessed the land in question and after his demise, the property devolved upon the petitioner, as he is the sole legal heir. When the petitioner has become the owner of the property, he



WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

intends to settle the property in favour of his wife. However, when the settlement deed was presented for registration, the same was refused to be registered by referring to Section 22A of the Registration Act.

4. The learned Special Government Pleader fairly submits that in the refusal check slip, no reason has been assigned and hence, the matter may be remanded back to the 2nd respondent for considering afresh.

5. I have considered the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. As rightly contended by the learned counsel on either side, though the 2nd respondent referred Section 22A of the said Act, no reason has been assigned for non registration. It is not in dispute that the petitioner, as legal heir, has acquired the property in question after the demise of his father and as on date, he is the lawful owner of the property. In such an event, there is no impediment for the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed. Hence, the impugned order of refusal check slip is set aside.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

7. Hence, while remanding the matter back to the 2nd respondent, the petitioner is directed to represent the settlement deed dated 12.01.2026 and in the event of such representation, the 2nd respondent shall register the same forthwith.

8. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

30.01.2026

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

RR

To

1. The District Registrar,
Tirunelveli District Registrar Office,
Tirunelveli..

2. The Joint-I Sub Registrar,,
Melapalayam Sub Registrar Office,
Tirunelveli..



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.2246 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J

RR

**ORDER
IN
WP(MD) No.2246 of 2026**

Date : 30.01.2026