



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO.1671 of 2026

1. Palanisamy

2. Uma Baby

3. Gowtham

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Through the Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 23 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Jegadeeshwaran

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.23 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-



WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 1998 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute, the accused persons went to the house of the defacto complainant and assaulted him and caused injuries to his head and also abused the defacto complainant's wife in filthy language and criminally intimidated them. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and the injured was discharged from the hospital.



WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and the injured was also discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Dindigul, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners are directed to report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



WEB COPY

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

KSA

29.01.2026



WEB COPY



To

1. Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 23 of 2026)
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



S.SRIMATHY, J.

KSA

Order made in
CRL OP(MD) NO.1671 of 2026

29.01.2026