



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

WP CrI.(MD) NO. 443 of 2026

R.Murugan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Subramaniyapuram
Trichy District.

2. The Inspector of Police
Kollidam Police Station
Trichy District.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.C.Jeganathan

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad, Government Advocate (Crl.side)

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.No.13/D4/PS/2026 dated 24.01.2026 to quash the same and consequently, to direct the respondents to grant permission to conduct the Kabadi Tournament on 31.01.2026 and 01.02.2026 at Thaalakudi Village, Lalgudi Taluk, Trichy District, on the basis of representation, dated 25.01.2026.

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to quash the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.No. 13/D4/PS/2026 dated 24.01.2026 and consequently, to direct the respondents to grant



permission to conduct the Kabadi Tournament on 31.01.2026 and 01.02.2026 at Thaalakudi Village, Lalgudi Taluk, Trichy District, on the basis of representation, dated 25.01.2026.

2.The petitioner has arranged for the Kabadi Tournament in memory of two kabaddi players. However, the respondents have rejected the permission citing a murder which had happened after the completion of the earlier Kabadi Tournament. Another reason that is cited is that the petitioner has not followed the standard operating procedures.

3.It is seen that the impugned order does not mention the standard operating procedures which has to be followed. Therefore, the impugned order is quashed.

4. The rejection order was passed for the earlier dates. Now, the petitioner is seeking to conduct the tournament in subsequent dates.

5.Therefore, the respondents are directed to consider again, impose the conditions and standard operating procedures and also fix the timings of the tournament. The petitioner is directed to file an undertaking affidavit stating that he would follow all the standard operating procedures that would be prescribed by the respondents. Further, the petitioner will be made liable if any untoward incident happens.



6. With the above observations, this Writ Petition is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

WEB COPY

29-01-2026

Tmg

Note: Issue order copy on 30.01.2026.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Subramaniyapuram
Trichy District.

2. The Inspector of Police
Kollidam Police Station
Trichy District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.