



CRL OP(MD). No.1435 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.1435 of 2026

S.M.Veeramani

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Town North Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.772 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Anto Prince,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.772 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.1435 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1A) and 30 of the Arms Act, 1959, in Crime No.772 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution's case is that without any valid license, the petitioner engaged in numerous arms transactions. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, pursuant to the order dated 23.01.2026, the petitioner produced sureties before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. II, Dindigul District.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) confirmed that the petitioner had produced the sureties before the concerned Magistrate.

5. Taking into consideration the above facts and circumstances of the case, the interim anticipatory bail already granted is made absolute.



CRL OP(MD). No.1435 of 2026

Therefore, this Court confirms the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner shall be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on his appearance, subject to the following conditions:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered



CRL OP(MD). No.1435 of 2026

under Section 269 of BNS.

WEB COPY

7. The sureties already produced by the petitioner before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. II, Dindigul District, shall hold good.

(S S Y J)
25.02.2026

pal

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Town North Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.1435 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1435 of 2026

Date : 25.02.2026