



WP(MD). No.2053 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 29.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.2053 of 2026

K.Krishnamurthi

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Kumbakonam.

2. The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Trichy Region,
Trichy.

3. The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the
respondents to settle interest at the rate of 12% per annum for the belated
payment of the petitioner's terminal benefits including Provident Fund,



WP(MD), No.2053 of 2026

Gratuity, Terminal Leave Salary, Commuted Value of Pension from the date of his retirement i.e., from 31.05.2024 to 03.12.2025, the date on which the said benefits were settled to him and also directing the respondents to pay him interest for the belated payment of surrender leave salary from the date of entitlement to till the date of settlement.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rahul
For R1 and R2 : Mr.K.Ramaiah,
Standing Counsel
For R3 : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The petitioner was appointed as a Driver in the respondent Transport Corporation on 11.12.1986 and after rendering 37 years of service, he retired from service on 31.05.2024. However, the retirement benefits were settled only on 02.12.2025. Seeking interest for the belated payment, the petitioner gave a representation to the respondents on 12.12.2025, however, it was not considered by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.



WP(MD), No.2053 of 2026

2. Mr.K.Ramaiah, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2, submits that the retirement benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however belatedly.

3. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

4. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

5. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of



interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

6. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in



WP(MD). No.2053 of 2026

S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

7. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is allowed with a direction to the respondents to pay interest at the rate of 6% p.a., for the belated payment of petitioner's retirement benefits, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

29.01.2026

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet: Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.



WEB COPY



WP(MD), No.2053 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Kumbakonam.
2. The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Trichy Region,
Trichy.
3. The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

WP(MD) No.2053 of 2026

29.01.2026