



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 28.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

M.Subramani

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd,
Rep by its Managing Director,
Madurai.

2.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees
Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai 600 002.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to settle interest at the rate of 6 percent per annum for the belated payment of the petitioner terminal benefits including Provident Fund, Gratuity, Surrender and Terminal Leave Salary and Commuted value of pension from the date of his retirement i.e., from 30.11.2023 to 25.08.2025, the date on which the said benefits were



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

settled to him.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rahul,
For Respondents : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for a Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay interest to the petitioner at the rate of 6% per annum, for the period of delay from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement in paying the terminal benefits, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he joined as a Tradesman in the respondent Transport Corporation on 16.05.1990 and he retired from service as Senior Tradesman on 30.11.2023. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity and encashment of leave were settled to him only on 25.08.2025. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondent is liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation to the respondent on 01.11.2025



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

WEB COPY

however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

3.Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for the respondents and submits that the retirement benefits have been settled to this petitioner, however, belatedly.

4. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

5. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in ***2008 (3) SCC 44***, has held as follows:



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

WEB COPY

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6%




W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

per annum and held as under:-

WEB COPY

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

8. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the



No costs.

28.01.2026

vrn



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd,
Rep by its Managing Director,
Madurai.
- 2.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees
Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai 600 002.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.2014 of 2026

28.01.2026
(1/2)