



WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/01/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

Prince Sports and Recreation Club
rep. by its Secretary Mr.P. Muthuvairavan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Whitefiled Cen Crime Police Station,
Bangalore City,
Karnataka..

2. The Branch Manager,,
Hdfc Bank,
Alanganallur Branch,
Madurai.

... Respondents

PRAYER :-

To issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to release the bank account of the petitioner club in 2nd respondent bank bearing account No.50200077129956.

For Petitioner : Mr. T.Bashyam,
Advocate.

For Respondents : No appearance



WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

The present Writ Petition has been filed for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st respondent to defreeze the petitioner's bank account bearing Account No. 50200077129956. .

2. The facts leading to the filing of the petition are as follows:

(a) The petitioner is holding a current account bearing A/c No. 50200077129956 at HDFC Bank, Alanganallur Branch, Madurai.

(b) The petitioner came to know that his account had been frozen.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is not an accused in any criminal case; the act of the fourth respondent freezing the entire account is illegal and violates the fundamental rights of the petitioner; that though pursuant to the alleged communication sent by the Cyber Police Coordination Cell, the fourth respondent was obliged to obey the directions, the fourth respondent ought to have informed the petitioner about the action taken thereon; and that having failed to do so, it has breached the contract with the



WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the account of the petitioner was frozen on the basis of the communication from the Cyber Police Coordination Cell.

5. On perusal of the records, it is clear that there is nothing on record to show that the Cyber Police Coordination Cell had requested the second respondent to freeze the entire account. The fact is that account has been frozen and the petitioner is unable to operate the account.

6. It is seen that in similar circumstances, this Court had observed that when the alleged fraudulent amount is quantified, the freezing of the whole account would not be justified. Similar view was taken by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.(MD).No.15684 of 2024 dated 15.07.2024, wherein, it was held that:

“3. The respondent is permitted to retain the aforesaid sum by marking lien on the petitioner's account. Subject to such marking of lien, the petitioner is permitted to operate their bank account. The freezing effected on the petitioner's bank account is lifted to



WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

1. The Inspector of Police,,
Whitefiled Cen Crime Police Station,
Bangalore City,
Karnataka..

2. The Branch Manager,,
Hdfc Bank,
Alanganallur Branch,
Madurai..



WEB COPY



WP CRL.(MD). No.388 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

TRP

ORDER
IN
WP CRL.(MD) No.388 of 2026

Date : 27/01/2026