



W.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 23.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

CONT.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

P.Kovilpitchai

... Petitioner

vs.

Akilandeswari,
Superintending Engineer,
TNPDC / TANGEDCO, Tirunelveli.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Contempt Petition is filed is under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the contemnor / 3rd respondent for Contempt of Court for wilful and deliberate violation of order of this Court in W.P.(MD)No.23653 of 2025, dated 29.08.2025 amounting to contempt of Court under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

For Petitioner :Mr.M.K.Viswanath
For Respondent :Mr.S.Deenadhayalan



W.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Contempt Petition has been filed seeking to punish the respondent/contemnor for the alleged wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.P.(MD) No.23653 of 2025, dated 29.08.2025.

2. By the said order, the respondent was directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 25.04.2025 on its own merits and pass appropriate orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

3. The said representation was submitted by the petitioner seeking release of the amount allegedly due towards the completion of work entrusted to him by the respondent.

4. Mr. S. Deenadhayalan, learned counsel appearing for the respondent, has placed on record a communication dated 31.01.2026, wherein it is stated that a sum of Rs.10,09,643/- has been released in favour of the petitioner after considering his representation.



W.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submitted that the petitioner is entitled to a higher amount and that the respondent has not fully complied with the order of this Court.

6. This Court is of the view that the direction issued in the writ petition was only to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders. The respondent has complied with the said direction by considering the representation and passing orders thereon, followed by release of a sum to the petitioner.

7. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the quantum of amount released or seeks any further relief, it is open to him to work out his remedy in the manner known to law, but the same cannot be a ground to sustain the present contempt proceedings.

8. In view of the above, no case of wilful disobedience is made out.



W.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

WEB COPY

9. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition stands closed, granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate his rights before the appropriate forum.

No costs.

Index :Yes / No
Internet :Yes / No
NCC :Yes / No

23.03.2026

cmr



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cmr

CONT.P.(MD)No.163 of 2026

23.03.2026