



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE N.MALA

**CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026
in
CRL A(MD)No. 116 of 2026**

S.Anablagan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
SpE.CBI.ACB, Chennai.
RC.MA 1 2010 (A) 0008.

... Respondent

PRAYER :- To suspend the sentence passed by the learned II Additional District Judge, [CBI Cases], Madurai, in CC.No.9/2012 dated 24.12.2025 and enlarge the petitioner on bail pending disposal of the appeal

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mahendra Pathy

For Respondent : Mr.C.Muthu Saravanan
Special Public Prosecutor for CBI Cases



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner to suspend the sentence imposed on him by the learned II Additional District Judge for CBI Cases, Madurai, in C.C.No.9 of 2012 dated 24.12.2025, and to enlarge him on bail pending the disposal of the appeal.

2. The case of the prosecution in brief, is that there are totally 34 accused. A5 and A6, who are alleged to be operating as brokers in the Passport Office, at Madurai, entered into criminal conspiracy with A1 to A4 and A7 to A12, for obtaining fake passports for A13 to A34. A3, the petitioner herein, who is working as Peon in the Passport Office, was in charge of Diarising as per the Office Note dated 05.01.2009, issued by the Passport Officer, Madurai. The case against the petitioner is that he removed the Police Verification Forms of A13 to A34 from the original applications and endorsed the said applications as PP Form [Personal Particulars Form] and signed as if it was a token of having despatched the said Forms to the concerned District Police authorities for verification. The further allegation is that in respect of A14, A15, A17 to A29, A31 to A34, the petitioner, initialled the letters forwarding the said



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

Personal Particulars Forms to the Superintendent of Police and in respect of A16, the petitioner did not affix any seal on the application Form indicating the issuance of PP Form and that insofar as A30 is concerned, A3 did not sign on the seal for having despatched the PPF for police verification. Based upon such act of A3, the petitioner herein, fake documents were made ready and fake passports were issued to A13 to A34. Hence, the complaint.

3. Before the Trial Court, on the side of the prosecution, 35 witnesses were examined as P.W.1 to P.W.35 and 133 documents were marked as Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.133. One material object was marked as M.O.1. On the side of the accused, neither any witness was examined nor document was marked.

4. The learned II Additional District Judge for CBI Cases, Madurai, upon considering the evidence both oral and documentary and on hearing the arguments on both the sides, passed the impugned judgment dated 24.12.2025, convicting the petitioner as follows:

S.No.	Offence	Sentence
1	Section 120B r/w 420 IPC	3 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

2	Section 120B r/w 468 IPC	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
3	Section 120B r/w 471 IPC	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
4	Section 120B IPC r/w 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
5	Section 420 IPC	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
6	Section 468 IPC	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
7	Section 471 IPC	2 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I
8	Section 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act	3 years R.I. and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default to undergo 6 months S.I

5. Challenging the above said conviction and sentence, the appellant has preferred the present Criminal Appeal along with the above Miscellaneous Petition seeking suspension of sentence.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that there are several incongruities in the prosecution case and further there are contradictions in material particulars in the evidences of the prosecution witnesses. He would further submit that the petitioner has



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

already paid the fine amount. The learned counsel also submits that the sentences imposed on the co-accused, namely, A12, Thangavelu, and A7-Zunitha Banu, were suspended by this Court vide order dated 06.01.2026 in Crl.MP.(MD).No.175/2026 and vide order dated 09.01.2026 in Crl.MP.(MD).No.580/2026 in Crl.A.(MD).No.42/2026.

7. The learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for CBI Cases would submit that there are enough materials available on record against the petitioner and hence, he strongly opposed the grant of suspension of sentence.

8. This Court has carefully considered the rival contentions put forward by either side and also perused the materials available on record.

9. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner pointed out the incongruities, inconsistencies and contradictions in material particulars in the prosecution's case, which establish that there are certain arguable points in the criminal appeal. However, the criminal appeal is not likely to be taken up for final hearing in the near future. Further, the co-accused, viz., A12 and A7, were granted the relief of suspension of sentence by this Court on 06.01.2026 and on 09.01.2026 in Crl.MP.(MD).Nos.175/2026 and 580/2026 in Crl.A.(MD).Nos.17/2026 and



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

42/2026. Hence, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner herein is also entitled for the relief of suspension of sentence.

10. Accordingly, the Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is allowed and the substantive sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended pending disposal of the appeal with the following directions:

(i) since it is reported that the petitioner had already paid the fine amount of Rs.40,000/-, the petitioner shall be enlarged on bail on condition that she shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the II Additional District Court for CBI Cases, Madurai.

(ii) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Trial Judge may obtain a copy of any valid identity proof to ensure their identity ;

(iii) The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court once in a month i.e., on the first working day of every English calendar month at 10.30 a.m., until further orders and if he is not able to appear before the trial Court on any day, he shall make arrangements to file an application under Section 317 of Cr.P.C (355 of BNSS) and shall appear before the



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

trial Court on any other day in lieu of the date of his absence, as directed
by the trial Court.

27.01.2026

AP

TO

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
SPE.CBI.ACB, Chennai.
- 2.The II Additional District Judge for CBI Cases,
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL MP(MD). No.1591/2026

N.MALA,J

AP

CRL MP(MD) No.1591/2026
in
Crl.A.(MD).No.116/2026

27.01.2026