



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

M.Elanthendral

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.37 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct the respondent to complete the investigation in respect of Crime No.37 of 2025 and to laid the final report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai, in accordance with law within the time prescribed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.Babu Rajendran

For Respondent : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

ORDER

Preface:

The advent of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 marks a constitutional transformation in India's criminal jurisprudence. For the first time since Independence, the criminal process has been consciously re-engineered to be victim-centric, citizen-responsive and justice-oriented, in contrast to the colonial enactments which were historically designed to subserve the interests of an imperial administration and to control its subjects.

2. The new Codes emphasise timeliness, transparency, accountability, and proportionality, recognising delay as a denial of justice. In particular, the BNSS, 2023, by codifying strict timelines for investigation in Section 193, ensures that the investigating agency cannot indefinitely keep the sword of uncertainty hanging over the head of an accused or allow victims to languish without closure. It is in this



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

WEB COPY

mandate of constitutional justice that the present petition deserves to be examined.

3. Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to direct the respondent to complete the investigation in respect of Crime No.37 of 2025 and to laid the final report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai, in accordance with law.

Submissions:

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the FIR was registered on 07.10.2025, no final report has been filed till date. Such delay is contrary to the statutory mandate under Section 193 BNSS, which prescribes time-bound investigation. It is therefore prayed that necessary directions be issued.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the investigation is in progress and the investigating officer shall abide by any timeline fixed by this Court.

6. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.

Analysis:

7. The BNSS has introduced a strict regime of time-bound investigation, which reads as follows:

(i) Section 193(1) BNSS mandates that every investigation under this Sanhita shall be completed without unnecessary delay. (ii) Section 193(2) BNSS mandates that in relation to offences punishable with imprisonment of seven years or more, the investigation shall ordinarily be completed within ninety days; and in all other offences, within sixty days. (iii) Section 193(3) BNSS, mandates that if the investigation is not completed within the period prescribed, the investigating officer



shall record the reasons in writing and intimate the Magistrate of such delay.

8. The statute therefore creates (i) a positive duty to complete investigation promptly; (ii) outer time limits; and (iii) a compulsory accountability mechanism for delay. These provisions are mandatory in nature and bind the investigating agency.

9. The petitioner purchased the property in S.No.346/4B1A1 at K. Vellakulam, Kallikudi, Madurai, under a registered sale deed dated 01.04.2015 and mortgaged the same with Tamilnadu Mercantile Bank in 2016. It is alleged that Suresh and others, in collusion with Sakthi Finance Limited, impersonated the petitioner, forged his signature, and falsely showed him as a guarantor for a hire purchase loan obtained in 2019, despite his title deeds being with the bank. On learning of the fraud, the accused admitted their guilt and executed an undertaking deed in 2021, assuring that the petitioner's property had no connection with the said loan. The petitioner's property, previously cleared of any



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

connection to a loan, was allegedly targeted by Mathankumar, who sought attachment of the property through the Sole Arbitrator. The property was attached on 30.06.2022. When the petitioner discharged his bank loan in February 2024, he discovered the attachment and, after obtaining details under the Right to Information Act, lodged a complaint. The police registered the complaint as Crime No.37 of 2025 on 07.10.2025 under Sections 34, 406, 420, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the IPC. This Court notes that the FIR in the present case was registered on 07.10.2025 and the investigation has not culminated in a final report even after the lapse of the period contemplated under Section 193(2) BNSS. No material is placed before this Court to show that the reasons for delay were recorded in writing or that the same was intimated to the jurisdictional Magistrate as required under Section 193(3) BNSS.

10. Such unexplained delay defeats the very purpose of the reformed statutory architecture, which mandates expeditious investigation so that the criminal process becomes an instrument of justice rather than a prolonged ordeal.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

WEB COPY

11. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to issue appropriate directions.

12. In the light of the above statutory mandate and taking into account the facts and submissions, the following directions are issued:

(i) The respondent police is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.37 of 2025 and file a final report before the jurisdictional Court within a period of four (4) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) If for any legally acceptable reason the investigation cannot be completed within the above period, the investigating officer shall strictly comply with Section 193(3) BNSS by recording reasons in writing and placing the same before the jurisdictional Magistrate without fail.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

WEB COPY

(iii) The petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedies in accordance with law if the above directions are not complied with.

Epilogue:

13. The BNSS, 2023 embodies a shift from a punitive colonial framework to a justice-centric democratic framework. Timely investigation is the first guarantee of fairness to both victim and accused. This Court expects diligent adherence to statutory timelines by the investigating agency.

14. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with the above directions.

23.01.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
gbg

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Madurai District.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

gbg

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1235 of 2026

23.01.2026