



WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 22/01/2026

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

S.Jeyaramasundaram

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Regional Transport Officer,
Srirangam, Trichy District..

2. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur, Trichy District..

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st Respondent to return the original driving licence No. TN 72 20140003775 of the Petitioner to him forthwith.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Balaji

For Respondents : Mrs.S.Jeyapriya for R1

Government Advocate

Mr.A.Albert James for R2

Government Advocate (Crl. side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for a mandamus directing the 1st respondent to return the original driving licence No. TN 72 20140003775

1/5



WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

of the petitioner to him forthwith.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents. By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.The petitioner is a Driver of the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited and the vehicle driven by the petitioner met with an accident on 29.12.2025. Hence, an FIR was registered at Siruganur Police Station in Crime No.403 of 2025 and the second respondent seized the petitioner's driving license and handed over the same to the first respondent. The respondents, without any authority, have impounded the driving license of the petitioner. Though the petitioner has made a representation to the first respondent on 09.01.2026 seeking return of the driving license, the said representation has not been considered till date. Hence, the petitioner has approached this Court.



WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is no provision under law to seize and retain the petitioner's driving license either the first respondent or the second respondent. Unless the driving license is returned, the petitioner's livelihood will be adversely affected.

4.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the first respondent and the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the second respondent strongly opposed for returning the driving license stating that the petitioner drove the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner, which resulted in the accident.

5.I have heard the submissions on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the respondents, once an accident has occurred, neither the first respondent nor the second respondent has any power to collect the driving license and withhold the same. The first respondent may cancel or suspend the driving license under certain



WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

circumstances prescribed under law and otherwise, there is no question of retaining the driving license by either the first respondent or second respondent. Hence, this Court is of the view that without any authority, the respondents cannot retain the driving license and are bound to return the same to the petitioner. Accordingly, the first respondent is directed to return the petitioner's driving license, taking into consideration the representation of the petitioner dated 06.01.2026 within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

No costs.

22.01.2026

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Office to Note: Order Copy on 23.01.2026

RR

To

1. The Regional Transport Officer,
Srirangam, Trichy District..

2. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur, Trichy District.

4/5



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.1519 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J

RR

**ORDER
IN
WP(MD) No.1519 of 2026**

Date : 22.01.2026