



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28.01.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.910 of 2026

Sankara Narayanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.14 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ramkumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.14 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 316(2), 318(4), 336(2) and



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

351(2) of BNS 2023, in Crime No.14 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a Printing Press and Granite and Tiles Company and is also running a trust and that on 04-01-2025 the petitioner/A2, introduced A1 that she is the District Co-ordinate Officer of DRDA and also she would take charge as Block Development Officer, Sivakasi, soon. Further A1 contacted the defacto complainant through phone and informed that the tender is to be called for formation of Perapatti Road and she will favour the tender against him. She issued fake work order by putting her signature in green ink and further A1 contacted the defacto complainant and informed that the tender is to be called for construction of elders home and for that an amount should be deposited to the Government. On believing the accused, defacto complainant sent a sum of Rs.5,83,000/- through Bank transaction and further a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- on 22-02-2025 and so on. A total sum of Rs.12,00,000/- was received from the defacto complainant by A1 to A4 in person. Further on 03-04-2025 to 29-07-2025 total sum of Rs.37,59,043/- was sent through Bank transaction on various dates. But the accused did not sanction any tender and thus all the accused cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.55,42,042/-. Hence,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has not committed any offence. Further, the contention of the petitioner is that she is working under the defacto complainant. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioner are serious in nature.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

No.II, Virudhunagar, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner is directed to deposit title deeds worth about Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakh Only), to the credit of Crime No. 14 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the same in its final order/Judgment.

[c]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[d]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COP [g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 of BNS.

28.01.2026

TMG

TO

1. Judicial Magistrate Court No.II,
Virudhunagar

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.910 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.910 of 2026

Date : 28.01.2026