



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

Abinaya,
W/o.Purushothamaraja

...Petitioner/A2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thanjavur.
(Crime No.20 of 2025)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.Sankar S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : No Appearance

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.20 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 355, 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(1), 340(2), 341(1), 319(2), 318(4), 61(2), & 49 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.20 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused and the other accused conspired and cheated the de-facto complainant to the tune of Rs.5,30,55,160/- (Rupees Five Crores Thirty Lakhs Fifty-Five Thousand One Hundred and Sixty only) under the guise of supplying computers to a college and doubling the money. The present petitioner is the wife of the first accused. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case, and she is in no way connected with the aforesaid incident. She has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the main accused / A1 was arrested and subsequently



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

released on bail. Hence, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner is the wife of the first accused and that it is found that there were money transactions between the de-facto complainant and the petitioner. He would further submit that the first accused was already arrested and subsequently released on bail, and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner. However, he opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, the nature of the offences alleged against the petitioner, and further considering the fact that the main accused/A1 was already arrested and subsequently released on bail, and that as per the prosecution, only money transactions have been made to the petitioner's account and no other specific allegations are made against the petitioner, and also



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

considering that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Thanjavur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026
(2/2)

mkn

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.2,
Thanjavur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thanjavur.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 749 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

mkn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 749 of 2026

Date : 20.04.2026
(2/2)