



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 20/01/2026

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

**WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026
and WMP(MD) No.817 of 2026**

Hema Madhav

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Sub Registrar,
Thiruverumbur Sub Registration Office,
Thiruverumbur,
Trichy District..

2. The Joint Commissioner Cum Executive Officer,
Arulmighu Sri Aranganatha Swamy Thirukovil,
Srirangam,
Thiruchirappalli..

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the Refusal Check Slip issued by the respondent vide No. RFL/Thiruverumbur/511/2025, dated 13.12.2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 1st respondent herein to register the settlement deed dated 13.12.2025 and release the same within the time stipulated by this Court.



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Jameelarasu
For Respondents : Mr.A.Kannan for R1
Addl. Government Pleader
Mr.M.Saravanan for R2

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned refusal Slip issued by the respondent vide No. RFL/Thiruverumbur/511/2025, dated 13.12.2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 1st respondent herein to register the settlement deed dated 13.12.2025 and release the same within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Additional Government Pleader for the official respondent and the learned counsel for the 2nd respondent. By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3. The petitioner purchased the land in question and executed a settlement deed in favour her husband and presented the settlement deed for registration. However, the said settlement deed was refused to be registered by the 2nd respondent on the ground that the 2nd respondent temple has made some objections. The objection was made stating that



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

the land in question belongs to the 2nd respondent temple and hence, the 1st respondent has refused to register the said settlement deed.

Challenging the said refusal, the petitioner is before this Court.

4. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that without issuing notice and without conducting enquiry, the first respondent has mechanically passed the impugned refusal order.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader would fairly submit that in the present case, since no notice was issued, the matter may be remitted back to the first respondent and a direction may be issued to the first respondent to conduct enquiry and pass orders.

6. I have considered the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. It is seen that in the present case, when the settlement deed was presented by the petitioner, it was refused to be registered on the ground that the land belongs to the 2nd respondent temple and some objections



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

were made for such registration. However, as per the law laid down by this Court in *AIR 2017 Madras 203 [Sudha Ravi Kumar and another Vs. The Special Commissioner and Commissioner, Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department, Chennai and another]*, before passing any orders, the authorities are bound to issue notice to the parties concerned.

8. Considering the submissions made on either side, it is seen that the impugned order has been passed merely based on the objections raised by the 2nd respondent, without conducting any enquiry and without following the law laid down by this Court in *AIR 2017 Madras 203 (supra)*. Accordingly, the impugned Refusal Check Slip dated 13.12.2025 issued by the 1st respondent is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the first respondent. The petitioner is directed to represent the document and upon receipt of the same, the 1st respondent is directed to issue notice to the petitioner as well as 2nd respondent and upon conducting an enquiry strictly in accordance with the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment, the first respondent shall take a decision with regard to the registration and pass appropriate orders on merits and in



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

WEB COPY

5. The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

20.01.2026

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

RR

To

1. The Sub Registrar,
Thiruverumbur Sub Registration Office,
Thiruverumbur,
Trichy District..



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.1040 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J

RR

**ORDER
IN
WP(MD) No.1040 of 2026**

Date : 20.01.2026