



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.01.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

S.Seramannan

... Petitioner

-VS-

- 1.The Registrar
Tamil Nadu Dr.Ambedkar
Government Law University
Chennai-600 028
- 2.The Controller of Examinations
Tamil Nadu Dr.Ambedkar
Government Law University
Chennai-600 028
- 3.The Director of Legal Studies
No.10, Purasaiwakkam High Road
Kilpauk, Chennai

... Respondents



W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider the representation dated 20.11.2025 and to conduct supplementary examinations for final year students immediately after the publication of semester results and to ensure that all examination results are declared within a uniform 60 day period.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.M.Siranjeevi

For Respondents : Mr.B.Brijesh Kishore for R1 & R2

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.]

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents to conduct supplementary examinations for the students, who have failed in the final year of the law course.



W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The response received from the respondent – University under the Right to Information Act, 2005, reveals that the Rules of the respondent - University do not provide for conducting supplementary examinations. It appears that the respondent – University conducts examinations twice a year and the student, who failed in the examination, has to take up the ensuing examination.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since it takes more than six months, a student, who fails even in one paper in the final year of the law course, loses more than six months and therefore, to avoid this loss, supplementary examinations should be conducted.

5. The reason stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner even though may appear very impressive, it is for the respondent – University to take a policy decision for conducting supplementary examinations. Court cannot direct the University to conduct supplementary examinations for the students, who have failed in the examinations.



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

6. Further, even though it is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is enormous delay in publishing the exam results, he is not able to furnish the details about the date of examinations and date of publishing the results.

7. In any case, the respondent – University is expected to publish the exam results within a reasonable time after completion of the examinations and there should not be any undue delay in releasing the results.

8. With the above observations, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

[G.J., J.] [K.K.R.K., J.]

20.01.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.1327 of 2026

20.01.2026