



W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 02.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.580, 582 and 583 of 2025

P.Senthi Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

The Block Development Officer,
(Block Development),
Udankudi Union Panchayat,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the impugned order issued by the respondent made in check list for pre-qualification of Evaluation Statement, for Package No. TN/TUT/UDA-01/2023-24 dated 30.12.2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently, to direct the respondent to open the petitioner's bid amount within a stipulated time as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner :Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian

For Respondent :Mr.M.Mahaboob Athiff

Government Advocate



W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

Heard Mr. M. Jegadeesh Pandian, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. M. Mahaboob Athiff, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent.

2.The petitioner challenges the checklist issued by the respondent in respect of the tender process initiated pursuant to the Tender Notification dated 06.12.2024, whereby two bidders, namely, M/s. Shree & Co. and Mr. Vidyasagar, were declared technically qualified and pre-qualified for undertaking the work relating to the construction of a sump with a capacity of 2 lakh litres.

3.According to the petitioner, he had submitted his bid through the online portal along with all requisite documents within the stipulated time. Thereafter, the respondent issued a communication by registered post calling upon the petitioner to furnish certain additional documents specified therein. The petitioner contends that all such documents were



W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

duly submitted on 30.12.2024. However, notwithstanding the same, the respondent rejected the petitioner's technical bid and declared him disqualified through the online checklist dated 30.12.2024.

4.The work in question pertains to the period 2024–2025. The petitioner's challenge is directed against the rejection of his technical bid dated 30.12.2024. As on date, the tender period itself has expired and the work covered under the tender notification pertains to a period that has already lapsed. In such circumstances, no effective relief can be granted in the present writ petition.

5.Since the subject matter of the tender has become infructuous by efflux of time, nothing survives for adjudication in the present proceedings.

6.Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.



W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No

02.06.2026

cmr

To

The Block Development Officer,
(Block Development),
Udankudi Union Panchayat,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cmr

W.P.(MD)No.918 of 2025

02.06.2026