



W.P.(MD).No.1284 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 21.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P(MD)No.1284 of 2026

and

W.M.P(MD) No.1016 of 2026

Veerapandi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintending Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Sivagangai District.

2. The Executive Engineer
TANGEDCO,
Natrasankottai,
Sivagangai District.

3. The Assistant Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Natrasankottai,
Sivagangai District.

4. M.Thirunavkarasu

5. M.Gopal

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the proceedings pertaining to the impugned order passed by the third respondent



W.P.(MD).No.1284 of 2026

vide Office/ க.எண்: உ.பிபா/ப/நா.கோ/வ.ஆ/அ.எண் 208/25, dated 26.12.2025 and quash proceeding in the same as illegal and subsequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 30.12.2025 and conduct proper enquiry and consequently forbear the respondents 1 to 3 to give EB Connection to the suit schedule properties till the disposal of the suit.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Rajagopal

For R1 to R3 : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed seeking to quash the order passed by the third respondent dated 26.12.2025 wherein, the request of the petitioner not to grant any service connection to the fourth respondent has been rejected.

2. From the records, it could be seen that the petitioner along with two others have filed a Civil Suit in O.S.No.133 of 2021 on the file of the Sub Court, Sivagangai, seeking partition.



W.P.(MD).No.1284 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the fourth respondent does not have any title to the property and he is now trying to draw electricity line through the private property of the writ petitioner. He further submits that the fourth respondent is not a co-owner along with the writ petitioner.

4. A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the fourth respondent has produced certain records to establish his title in the suit schedule property. The impugned order further reveals that in case, if the petitioner is able to get a favourable order from the Civil Court, they will take initiate appropriate action as against the fourth respondent.

5. In view of the such circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that granting agricultural service connection to the fourth respondent would not in any way affect the rights of the writ petitioner in the partition suit. The respondents 1 to 3 are directed to get appropriate indemnity bond from the fourth respondent before effecting service connection.



W.P.(MD).No.1284 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. With the above said observation, this writ petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition stands closed.

21.01.2026

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
ebsi

To

1. The Superintending Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Sivagangai District.
2. The Executive Engineer
TANGEDCO,
Natrasankottai,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Assistant Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Natrasankottai,
Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.1284 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J.

ebsi

W.P(MD)No.1284 of 2026

21.01.2026