



WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.01.2026

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR**

**WA(MD)No.42 of 2026
and CMP(MD) No.533 of 2026**

Bramachari Veerachandran
Sri Sri Ramakrishna Kudil
Thirupparaithurai village
Srirangam Taluk
Trichy District

... Appellant

Vs

1. Inspector General of Registration,
Registration Department,
Chennai 04

2. The District Registrar,
Administration,
Registration Department
Tiruchirappalli.

3. Murugan Palanisamy @ Ramamurthy

4. M. Chithambaram

5. M. Subramanian

6. K. Sambasivam

... Respondents



WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

PRAYER :-Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, praying to prefer this Memorandum of Grounds of Writ Appeal against the order made in WP(MD). No.32613 of 2025 dated 24.11.2025.

For Appellants : Mr.S.Muthukrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.K.R.Badurus Zaman for R1 and R2
Government Advocate
Mr.K.Govindarajan for
Mr.R.Murali for R3 to R6

JUDGMENT

((Judgment of the Court was delivered by Dr.**G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.**)

The appeal is filed by the unsuccessful writ petitioner, who has sought for mandamus forbearing the District Registrar from approving the resolution of the meeting of Sri Ramakrishna Kudil alleged to have been held on 21.09.2024.

2. The contention of the writ petitioner is that he submitted a representation to the respondents pointing out irregularities in the process of selecting the office bearers, particularly, violation of the Rules of the Society, which mandates the office bearers must be Brammacharis trained in the same Institution and if no suitable Brammachari is available, a suitable Brammachari from other Institute ought to have been appointed. Only in case when no Brammachari suitable is available either within the Institution or outside the



WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

Institution, non Brammacharis can be appointed, that too, only for temporary period. However, in gross violation of the said Rules, resolution dated 21.09.2024 was passed appointing non Brammacharis as Office Bearers.

3. The learned Single Judge, after considering the submissions, has found that the first respondent, namely, the Inspector General of Registration has conducted the election pursuant to the directions issued by this Court in WP(MD) No.9453 of 2024 and pursuant to the said direction, election was conducted and impugned resolution has been passed and therefore, there cannot be a direction to issue mandamus. Being aggrieved, the intra-court appeal is filed by the writ petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the private respondents submitted that pursuant to the resolution dated 21.09.2024, Form VII has been submitted to the Registrar and the same has been accepted on 05.12.2024. Being aggrieved, the appellant herein has filed a comprehensive suit before the District Court, Tiruchirappalli.

5. Therefore, if at all the appellant has any grievance, he can agitate his rights before the District Court, where he has preferred the suit. This Court is



WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

not inclined to make any observations about the merits of the writ petition or writ appeal and leave it open for the appellant to work out his remedy before the civil Court.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ appeal stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No

(G.J, J.) (N.S, J.)
23.01.2026

RR

To
1. Inspector General of Registration,
Registration Department,
Chennai 04

2. The District Registrar,
Administration,
Registration Department
Tiruchirappalli.



WEB COPY



WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J
and
N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

RR

Order made in
WA(MD)No.42 of 2026

DATED : 23.01.2026