



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 12.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.865 of 2026

S.Vaikuntamony

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation (Tirunelveli) Ltd.,
Ranithottam,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.

2. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Trust,
Thiruvallur House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay 6% interest for the belated payment of the petitioner retirement benefits i.e. Provident Fund, Gratuity, EL and ML Salary and Surrender Leave Salary and all other retirement benefits from the date of retirement to the actual date of payment of retirement benefits to the petitioner and to pay the same to the petitioner within the time frame to



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

be fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner	: Mr.N.S.Ramakrishna Dass
For R1	: Mr.P.F.Ferlin Castro Standing Counsel
For R2	: Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, Standing Counsel

ORDER

The petitioner worked as a Special Grade Driver in the respondent Transport Corporation and retired from service on 31.05.2024. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity, EL and ML Salary, surrender leave salary and all other retirement benefits, due to the petitioner were settled belatedly. Seeking interest for the belated payment, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

2. Mr.P.F.Ferlin Castro, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the 1st respondent, submits that the retirement benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however belatedly. He further submits that the petitioner is not entitled for interest for medical leave salary.



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the petitioner is not claiming any interest for medical leave salary.

4. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

5. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

(2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment."

8. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is allowed with a direction to the respondent Transport Corporation to pay interest at the rate of 6% p.a., for the belated payment of the petitioner's retirement benefits, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is made clear that the petitioner is not entitled for interest for medical leave salary. No costs.

12.01.2026

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet: Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.865 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

To

1. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation (Tirunelveli) Ltd.,
Ranithottam,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.
2. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Trust,
Thiruvallur House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

WP(MD) No.865 of 2026

12.01.2026