WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **11.03.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR**

AND

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

W.P.(MD)No.6647 of 2026

M.Subramanian ... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
   Pudukkottai District.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
   Pudukkottai District.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
   Pudukkottai District.

4. The Tahsildhar,
   Thirumayam Post and Taluk,
   Pudukkottai District.

5. Vellaiyammal

6. C.Parthiban ...Respondents

PRAYER:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, direct the 2nd respondent to cancel the patta issued in favour of the 5th respondent for S.No.391/12-0.04.0 ares of Melur Village, Thirumayam Taluk and Pudukkottai District at once.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Ganapathi Subramanian
For Respondents : Mr.J.Ashok (R1 to R4)
Additional Government Pleader

**ORDER**

(Order of the Court was made by **N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.)**

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to cancel the patta issued in favour of the 5th respondent in S.No.391/12-0.04.0 ares of Melur Village, Thirumayam Taluk and Pudukkottai District

2.Mr.J.Ashok, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice for the respondents 1 to 4. In view of the order proposed to be passed in this writ petition, notice to the fifth and sixth respondents is dispensed with.

3.By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the time of admission itself.

4.According to the writ petitioner, the land is classified as Ryotwari manai. It is his case that the said land is a vacant site, which has been used by the villagers for organizing cultural events, sports



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

activities, and other allied functions, particularly during the Mariammal Temple festival and the New Year. It is alleged that patta has been issued in favour of the fifth respondent. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner seeks cancellation of the patta granted in favour of the fifth respondent.

5.We are of the considered view that, in the present writ petition, the petitioner seeks to espouse a private interest rather than any genuine public interest. If at all any patta is granted to the fifth respondent, the proper way for the petitioner is to file an appeal before the authorities concerned and work out his remedy. Hence, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition.

6.Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**[N.S.K., J.] & [M.J.R., J.]**
**11.03.2026**

Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes
ta



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Collector,
   Pudukkottai District.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
   Pudukkottai District.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
   Pudukkottai District.

4. The Tahsildhar,
   Thirumayam Post and Taluk,
   Pudukkottai District.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

**N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.**
**AND**
**M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.**

ta

W.P.(MD)No.6647 of 2026

11.03.2026



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis