



WP(MD). No.1069 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 21.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.1069 of 2026

M.S.R.Sheik Ismail

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(MDU) Ltd.,
Bye pass Road,
Madurai – 625 016.

2. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(MDU) Ltd.,
Dindigul Regional Office,
District Collector Office Post,
Dindigul – 624 004.

3. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees' Pension Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents



WP(MD), No.1069 of 2026

to pay interest at the rate of 6% per annum to the petitioner for the belated payment of Gratuity, Provident Fund, EL Surrender Salary and Leave salary from the date of retirement to the date of actual payment within a time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : M/s.D.Ramya
For R1 and R2 : Mr.S.Raja
Standing Counsel
For R3 : Mr.SC.Herold Singh,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The petitioner was appointed as a Helper in the Transport Corporation on 27.06.1988 and after rendering 35 years of service, he voluntarily retired from service as Foreman on 31.12.2023. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Gratuity, Provident Fund, EL Surrender and Leave salary, due to the petitioner were settled only on 25.08.2025. Seeking interest for the belated payment, the petitioner gave a representation to the respondents on 13.12.2025, however, it was not considered by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.



WP(MD), No.1069 of 2026

2. Mr.S.Raja, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2, submits that the retirement benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however belatedly.

3. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

4. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

5. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of



interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

6. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in



WP(MD). No.1069 of 2026

S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

7. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is allowed with a direction to the respondents to pay interest, for the belated payment of retirement/terminal benefits of the petitioner, at the rate of 6% p.a., within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

21.01.2026

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet: Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.

5/6



WEB COPY



WP(MD), No.1069 of 2026

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(MDU) Ltd.,
Bye pass Road,
Madurai – 625 016.
2. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(MDU) Ltd.,
Dindigul Regional Office,
District Collector Office Post,
Dindigul – 624 004.
3. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees' Pension Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

WP(MD) No.1069 of 2026

21.01.2026