



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 09.01.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI**

W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

G.Manikandan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The General Manager,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport  
Corporation Tirunelveli Ltd.,  
Ranithottam, Nagercoil,  
Kanyakumari District.

2.The Administrator,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,  
Employees Pension Trust,  
Thiruvalluvar House,  
Pallavan Salai, Chennai 600 002.

...Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to pay the 6% interest for the belated payment of the petitioner retirement benefits ie., Provident Fund, Gratuity, E.L. and M.L.Salary, Surrender Leave Salary and all other retirement benefits from the date of retirement to the actual date of payment of retirement benefits to the petitioner and pay the same to the petitioner within the time frame to be fixed by this Court.



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.N.S.Ramakrishna Dass

For R1 : Mr.D.Jebaraj,  
Standing Counsel

For R2 : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh  
Standing Counsel

### **ORDER**

Seeking interest for the belated payment of terminal and pension benefits, the petitioner has approached this Court.

2.The case of the petitioner is that he worked as a Senior Grade Tradesman in the respondent Transport Corporation and retired from service on 31.05.2024. However, the retirement benefits were settled to him only on 02.12.2025. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondents are liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation dated 09.12.2025 to the respondents, however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

WEB COPY

3.Mr.D.Jebaraj and Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondents and submit that the terminal benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however, belatedly.

4.By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

5.This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6.The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in *S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana* reported in 2008 (3) SCC 44, has held as follows:

*"14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded*



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

WEB COPY

*that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of "bounty" is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents."*

7.Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

*"5. .... even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the*



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

WEB COPY

*Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment."*

8.Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

**09.01.2026**

NCC: Yes/No  
Index:Yes/No  
Internet:Yes  
gns

5/7



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Management of Tamil Nadu State  
Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd,  
Madurai Region,  
Rep by its  
Managing Director,  
Madurai.
- 2.The Administrator,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employees  
Pension Fund Trust,  
Thiruvalluvar Illam,  
Anna Salai,  
Chennai 2.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

**B.PUGALENDHI, J.**

gns

W.P(MD)No.553 of 2026

09.01.2026