



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 07.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026
& W.M.P.(MD)No.310 of 2026

M/s.Paramakudi Municipality
Rep. by its Commissioner M.Thamarai
No.4/31, Gandhiji Street Paramakudi
Ramanathapuram District - 623707

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer (Data Analytics)
Intelligence Wing, Virudhunagar.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned Order passed by the respondent vide proceedings in GSTIN.33AAALC1887B1ZL/2022-23, dated 13.02.2025, quash the same as void and illegal

For Petitioner : Mr.Karthikeyan C

For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, AGP



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned assessment order dated 13.02.2025.

2. When this matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is willing to file an appeal against the said impugned assessment order. Therefore, though he had sought for larger relief in this petition, he had restricted his relief to the extent to request this Court to grant liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal.

3. Further, he would submit that now, the petitioner is willing to pay 10% of the disputed tax amount towards additional pre-deposit to the respondent while filing the appeal. Hence, he requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

4. In reply, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent requests this Court to pass any appropriate orders with regard to the filing of appeal on terms.



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. In the case on hand, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that now the petitioner is willing to file an appeal against the impugned assessment order dated 13.02.2025 passed by the respondent and he has restricted his relief and requested this Court to grant liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal against the impugned assessment order since it will be sufficient to meet out the case of the petitioner.

7. Further, it was submitted that the petitioner is willing to pay 10% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. Therefore, though this petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 13.02.2025, considering the submissions made by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to dismiss the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal against the impugned assessment order.



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

9. While dismissing this petition, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the concerned Appellate Authority, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, subject to the payment of 10% of the disputed tax amount, over and above the statutory pre-deposit, to the respondent as agreed by the petitioner. Upon payment of said amount, the Appellate Authority shall consider the said appeal filed by the petitioner, if it is otherwise in order, on its own merits and in accordance with law, by providing sufficient opportunity to the petitioner, without pressing for limitation.

07.01.2026

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
nsa



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

WEB COPY To

The State Tax Officer (Data Analytics)
Intelligence Wing, Virudhunagar.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.(MD)No.317 of 2026
& W.M.P.(MD)No.310 of 2026

07.01.2026