



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

V.Ponraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by The Sub Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 4.Davamani
- 5.Suriya Prakash
- 6.Kathikeyan
- 7.Sushila



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

8.Manimegalai

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct the respondent nos.1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner based on the representation dated 05.01.2026 made before the respondents nos.1 to 3.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Murugan

For R1 – R3 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

This criminal original petition is filed to direct the respondent nos.1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner based on the representation dated 05.01.2026 made before the respondents nos.1 to 3.

2. Since no adverse order is passed against the private respondents, notice to the private respondents is dispensed with.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

3. The land dispute prevailing between the petitioner and the private respondents, in which the private respondents are disturbing the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment over the property comprised in various survey numbers, which was purchased by him from the respondents 4 to 8 by registered sale deed bearing Document No.1185 of 2022 on 16.03.2022. After having sold the property to the petitioner, now they are insisting the petitioner to reconvey the property to them and they are hindering the petitioner's enjoyment. Hence, this petition.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) submitted that the issue is with regard to money dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents. In this regard, enquiry has been conducted and closed on 13.01.2026.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of the dispute involved and it is clearly a money transaction which had arisen out of a money dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents, this Court do not find any merit in this case.

7. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

02.02.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

gbg

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

gbg

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1861 of 2026

02.02.2026