



CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026

M.Ayyar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID
Dindigul District
(Crime No. 15 of 2023)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Manimaran
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 15 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A9, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.12.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c)r/w. 20(b)(ii)(C), 23(1)27(a) and 29(1) of NDPS Act in Crime No.15 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 23.600kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that mandatory provisions under Sections 42,50,52A and 57 of NDPS Act has not been complied with. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.12.2024. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused were

2/5



CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026

found in illegal possession of 23.600kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that in this case trial has been closed and the case is posted for arguments. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the fact that the contraband involved in this case is commercial quantity and trial has been closed and the case stands posted for arguments at this stage it is not appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner.


7. Hence the petition stands dismissed. However considering the long pendency of the case the trial Court is directed to dispose the case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(P D B J)
22.04.2026

aav

Note: Issue order copy on 22.04.2026

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Principal Special Court for NDPS Act Cases, Madurai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID
Dindigul District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.366 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 366 of 2026

Date : 22.04.2026