



CRP(PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated: 02/01/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

CRP(PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011
and
MP(MD)No.1 of 2011

1.M/s.Lanka Traders Bone Mill
R.S.No.475/1, Kodaganallur,
Tirunelveli Taluk and District.

2.Mrs.Stella Kanagaraj

3.Mrs.Joseph Antony Arockkia Selvi : Revision Petitioner/Petitioner/
Defendants

Vs.

Central Bank of India,
26, South Car Street,
Rep. By its Senior Manager.

: Respondent/Respondent/
Appellant

Prayer:- This Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the order, dated 14/02/2011 passed in IA No.535 of 2008 in OA No.63 of 2007 by the Debts Recovery Tribunal, Madurai, and to permit the petitioners to file counter claim as indigent persons.



WEB COPY



CRP(PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011

For Revision Petitioners : Mr.V.Meenakshi Sunndaram
for Mr.S.Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.G.Sridharan

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN.J.**)

This Civil Revision has been filed as against the order, dated 14/02/2011, passed in IA No.535 of 2008 in OA No.63 of 2007 by the Debt Recovery Tribunal, Madurai, thereby dismissing the petition filed by the petitioners seeking permission to file a counter claim petition as indigent persons on the ground that no convincing reasons are stated in the application. Further, on receipt of the notice in OA No.63 of 2007, the petitioners also filed written statement on 17/03/2008. Thereafter, the respondent had let in evidence on their side. While the matter stood posted for petitioners side evidence before the Tribunal, the petitioners filed a petition seeking permission to file a counter claim as indigent persons. Further, the delay to claim a counter claim, that too as indigent persons was also not properly explained by the petitioners. In view of the above, the Tribunal has rejected the petition filed by the petitioners by passing the impugned order, This Court finds no infirmity in the impugned order passed by the Tribunal.



CRP(PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011

2.In the result, this Civil Revision Petition is **dismissed**. Since the petitioners have prolonged the entire trial in OA No.63 of 2007 for all these years by obtaining interim orders from this Court, the Tribunal is directed to dispose of the OA No.63 of 2007, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

(G.K.I., J.) (K.R., J.)
02-01-2026

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
er

To,

- 1.The Debt Recovery Tribunal,
Madurai.
- 2.The Section Officer,
VR/ER Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRP(PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011

**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN,J
and**

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J

er

C.R.P (PD)(MD)No.886 of 2011

02/01/2026